Homework #3:   Point location, polygon triangulation [60 points]
Due Date: Monday, 3 June 2002

- **The Common Theory Problems**

Problem 1.   [10 points]

Consider a subdivision of the plane consisting entirely of rectangles aligned with the x- and y-axes. Such a subdivision is clearly monotone for any direction θ, $0 < \theta < \pi/2$ (in other words, a line in a direction normal to θ always cuts a region along a single segment). By what we proved (or will prove) in class it follows that, for each fixed θ, the corresponding "above" relation is acyclic. Prove that there is a linear ordering of the regions that is consistent with the "above" relations for all θ, $0 < \theta < \pi/2$, simultaneously.

Problem 2.   [10 points]

We discussed briefly in class the point location method due to David Kirpatrick which constructs a hierarchy of coarser and coarser triangular subdivisions over the original triangulation [*SIAM J. Comp., 12 (1983), 28–35*]. Read his paper and then adapt his method to perform point location on subdivisions consisting entirely of rectangles aligned with the axes. Your method should build a hierarchy of subdivisions that are all of the same type as the original: edges must be vertical or horizontal and regions rectangular. Your asymptotic preprocessing, space, and query bounds should be the same as Kirkpatrick's.

- **The Additional Theory Problems**

Problem 3.   [10 points]

Show how the interval stabbing structure presented in section B1 of the "Ruler, Compass, and Computer" paper (included in the primary reader) can be adapted to report all the intervals containing the query point, not just count them. The time for the reporting operation should be $O(\log n + k)$, where k is the number of intervals reported. Then show that counting and reporting can also be done (within the same time bounds) when the query object is not a point but another interval, and we are interested in the original intervals intersecting the query interval. The more ambitious of you can now try to use this method plus a sweep line idea to give an $O(n \log n + k)$ algorithm for reporting all intersecting pairs among n axis-aligned rectangles in the plane (again k is the output size) [5 extra points]. This is a very useful operation in design rule checking for VLSI circuits.

Problem 4.   [10 points]

Let S be the set of vertices of a simple polygon P in the plane and call a diagonal AB of P *extreme* if both A and B are vertices of the convex hull of S. Show that, unless P is convex, P can be triangulated without using any extreme diagonals.

Problem 5.   [10 points]

Let P be a simple polygon on n sides. Show how to compute the vertical trapezoidalization of P in linear time, starting from an arbitrary triangulation of P.

Problem 6.   [10 points]

Let P be a simple polygon on n sides. Consider a particular side e of P as a luminous neon tube, casting light towards the interior of P. The illuminated area of P is another polygon V, called the *weak-visibility* polygon of P from e. Every point of V has the property that "it can see" some point of e. Show how to compute V in linear time, starting from an arbitrary triangulation of P.

• **The Programming Problem**

Problem 7.   [40 points]

The third programming problem will be designed individually to accommodate the diverse interests of the students in the applied track. For example, many extensions are possible along the lines of the kinetic Delaunay triangulation of homework 2. Other 2-D geometric structures on points that are interesting to maintain under motion include the closest or furthest pair, the minimum spanning tree, etc. On moving segments of interest are the vertical decomposition, a binary space partition tree, or the visibility complex.

A one page proposal about the last programming assignment is due on Monday, 20 May, 2002.